

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/648,255	08/27/2003	Yoshihisa Suda	053466-0366	5217	
22428 FOLEV AND	7590 06/25/2007 LARDNER LLP		EXAMINER		
SUITE 500			FASTOVSKY, LEONID M		
3000 K STREET NW WASHINGTON, DC 20007			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	,		3742		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			06/25/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/648,255	SUDA ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Leonid M. Fastovsky	3742	

		Leoniu IVI. Fasiovsky		3/42			
T <i>f</i>	ne MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet wi	th the c	orrespondence add	ress		
THE REPLY I	FILED <u>11 June 2007</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APF	PLICATION IN CONDITION	FOR A	LLOWANCE.			
this app places t a Reque time per		wing replies: (1) an amendm stice of Appeal (with appeal se with 37 CFR 1.114. The I	nent, aff fee) in (	idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)		
a) 💹 The	period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.					
TWO	miner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or D MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	06.07(f).					
have been filed under 37 CFR f set forth in (b) a	ime may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the sabove, if checked. Any reply received by the Office latery earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) APPEAL	tension and the corresponding shortened statutory period for ro r than three months after the m	amount eply orig	of the fee. The approprinally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) a		
filing the	cice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp e Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte e of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.3	7(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th			
	<del></del>						
(a) 🔀 T	oposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, hey raise new issues that would require further co hey raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo	nsideration and/or search (s			ecause		
(c) 🔲 T	hey are not deemed to place the application in bet ppeal; and/or		rially re	ducing or simplifying	the issues for		
(d) 🔲 T	hey present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).		nally rej	ected claims.			
			N 0-		(DTOL 204)		
	endments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1. ant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)		Non-Co	mpliant Amendment (	(PTOL-324).		
6. Newly <sub>l</sub> non-allo	proposed or amended claim(s) would be alwable claim(s).	llowable if submitted in a se					
how the The stat	poses of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) new or amended claims would be rejected is protus of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	will not be entered, or by wided below or appended.	) 🗌 wi	ll be entered and an e	explanation of		
Claim(s)	) allowed: ) objected to:						
	rejected: <u>12-28</u> .	•					
	) withdrawn from consideration:						
	R OTHER EVIDENCE						
because	davit or other evidence filed after a final action, but applicant failed to provide a showing of good and earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	it before or on the date of fil d sufficient reasons why the	ling a Ne e affidav	otice of Appeal will <u>no</u> rit or other evidence is	ot be entered s necessary and		
entered	davit or other evidence filed after the date of filing because the affidavit or other evidence failed to one good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome all rejections unde	er appe	al and/or appellant fai	Is to provide a		
10. 🔲 The aff	fidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation RECONSIDERATION/OTHER						
	quest for reconsideration has been considered bu	t does NOT place the applic	cation in	n condition for allowar	nce because:		
	ne attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTØ/SB/08) Paper No(s)					
13.  Other:	Lul		1	To the same	<b>-</b>		
	TU B/ ḤOAN SUPERVISORY PATEN	IG ~ TEXAMINER		Tastona	y		

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06)

The proposed amendment raises the issue of new matter of "uniformly dispersed" that has not been described in the specification.